

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION
1331 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW
SUITE 1400
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004
(202) 662-8800

William W. Wilkins, Jr. Chairman
Michael K. Block
Stephen G. Breyer
Helen G. Corrothers
George E. MacKinnon
Hele H. Nagel
Benjamin F. Baer (ex officio)
Ronald L. Gainer (ex officio)



March 29, 1989

TO: All Commissioners

FROM: Dennis Murphy, Bank Robbery Working Group

SUBJECT: Bank Robbery Cases Sentenced under the Guidelines

This memorandum provides an analysis of all robbery cases sentenced under the guidelines that had been received by the Monitoring staff as of approximately February 15, 1989. I will first present summary statistics for key offender and offense characteristics for the entire sample of 146 cases. Second, a more detailed analysis of sentencing practices is presented for a subset of 94 cases that did not involve departures, career offenders, or 924(c) convictions. Third, I provide a complete listing of departures, including information on District, judge code, and the direction and magnitude of the departure. Finally, in response to questions raised at the Commission meeting of March 15, I analyze the extent to which a two-level reduction for Acceptance of Responsibility has been awarded in plea-bargain cases, where one or more counts of indictment were dropped.

I. Overview of Full Sample

The full set of 146 monitoring cases includes 13 dispositions by trial, 98 simple pleas where no counts of indictment were dropped, and 33 plea bargains with counts reduced. There are 17 instances of clear Guideline sentencing departures, and 13 cases where there was an unexplained discrepancy between the Guideline range given in the Presentence Report and the sentence indicated in the J&C. Thirteen offenders are classified as Career Offenders in the Presentence Report. For three of these cases, the judge disputed the accuracy of the classification and gave a straight Guideline sentence. Seven of the remaining seven cases were sentenced as career offenders. The average Criminal History Category for all 146 offenders is just below Category III. Finally, the average Guideline sentence for the entire sample is 69.8 months.

Table I below provides information on sentencing and selected offender and offense characteristics.

Table I
Summary Statistics for Selected Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Dev.	Median	Range
Sentence	69.8	68.0	48.0	6 - 507
Dollar Loss	\$9440.0	16256.2	\$3300.0	0 - \$98,141
Number of Robberies, Indictment	1.6	1.6	1.0	1 - 11
Number of Robberies, Conviction	1.4	1.0	1.0	0 - 7
Offender Age	31.8	9.9	30.0	19 - 65

II. Straight Sentencing

There are 94 cases in the sample that do not involve Career Offenders, departures, or convictions under 924(c). For these cases, the sentence ranges from 24 to 115 months, with a mean of 49.3.

In order to determine where judges are sentencing in the Guideline range for the relevant Offense Level and Criminal History Category, I calculated the midpoint of the indicated Guideline range for each case and then computed the average value of this midpoint variable. If judges, on average, have sentenced in the middle of the Guideline range, the overall average sentence would be close to the average of the midpoints of the various Guideline ranges. If judges have tended to sentence at the top or bottom of the indicated range, the average sentence for the sample would be correspondingly higher or lower.

The average figure for the midpoints of all Guideline sentencing ranges in the subsample of 94 cases is 49.03. Since the average sentence for this subsample is 49.3, it appears that there is no systematic tendency for judges to sentence toward the top or bottom of the Guideline range. This can also be seen by examining the percentage of times Judges sentenced at the top and bottom of the Guideline range. About 30 percent of the sentences are at the top; Approximately 26 percent are at the bottom of the indicated

range.

Table II provides additional information on sentencing patterns for the 94 cases broken down by trials, plea bargains with counts reduced, and simple pleas.

Table II
Sentencing by Type of Disposition*

Disposition	Number	Mean Sentence	Standard Dev.	Median Sentence	Range
Trial	3.0	49.3	20.3	46.0	24 - 115
Pleas, Counts Reduced	21.0	48.8	15.1	48.0	30 - 87
Simple Pleas	70.0	48.4	21.3	40.5	24 - 115

*Sample does not include Career Offenders, departures, or convictions under Section 924(c).

III Departures

Table III provides detailed information on the 17 Guideline departures in the full sample of 146 cases. Three of the downward departures involve career offenders. In the last case listed, the judge departed upward to 192 months, stating that the offender had escaped Career Offender status due only to a fortuitous sentencing consolidation of prior violent felonies.

Table III
Guideline Departures

Direction	District	Judge Code	Indicated Guideline Range	Sentence
Downward	Mid Ca	7319	30-37	6
Downward	N. Iowa	6205	24-30	22
Downward	Nevada	7810	30-37	12
Downward	Oregon	7908	30-37	27
Downward	S. Georgia	3j06	262-327	144
Downward	S. Georgia	3j06	262-327	144
Downward	W. Ark	6105	70-87	51
Downward	W. Ark	6005	135-168	108
Downward	S. NY	0862	262-327	71
Downward	Nevada	7808	24-30	12
Downward	W. NY	1708	33-41	9
Downward	W. KY	4409	33-41	30
Downward	W. Texas		30-37	27
Upward	Mid Ill	5311	30-37	45
Upward	Nevada	7810	30-37	60
Upward	E. KY	4305	1-7	27
Upward	Mid Fla		57-71	192

IV. Acceptance of Responsibility

As indicated in my oral report to the Commission on March 15, a two-level reduction for Acceptance of Responsibility is granted routinely even when a plea bargain has already resulted a reduction of one or more counts of indictment. There are 28 plea bargains with counts reduced in the full sample. Acceptance of Responsibility was granted in 24 of these cases. In three of the four cases where Acceptance was not granted, a 924(c) indictment count had already been dropped in the plea bargain. Table IV provides a description of counts dropped for each plea bargain and indicates whether Acceptance of Responsibility was granted.

Table IV

Application of Acceptance of Responsibility in
Monitoring Robbery Cases

<u>Description of Counts Dropped in Plea Agreement</u>	<u>Acceptance Granted?</u>
1. 924(c)	yes
2. 371 (conspiracy)	yes
3. 2 confessed robberies (not in counts of indictment)	yes
4. 371	yes
5. 371	yes
6. 924(c)	yes
7. 1 robbery	yes
8. 1 attempted robbery	yes
9. 1 confessed robbery	yes
10. 924(c)	yes
11. 371	yes
12. 1 confessed robbery	yes
13. 6 robberies	yes
14. 924(c)	no
15. 371, 924(c)	yes
16. all robbery counts--convicted of Assault and Burglary	no
17. 924(c)	yes
18. 2113(d)	yes
19. 2113(d)	yes
20. 924(c)	no
21. 1 confessed robbery	yes
22. 924(c)	yes
23. 2113(d)	yes
24. 3 confessed robberies	yes
25. 924(c)	no
26. 924(c)	yes, but judge departed upward by 2 levels complaining that guidelines too low.
27. 371, arson of vehicle	no
28. Robbery reduced to Larceny	yes, but judge departed upward.

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION
1331 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW
SUITE 1400
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004
(202) 662-8800

William W. Wilkins, Jr. Chairman
Michael K. Block
Stephen G. Breyer
Helen G. Corrothers
George E. MacKinnon
Ilene H. Nagel
Benjamin F. Baer (ex officio)
Ronald L. Gainer (ex officio)



March 29, 1989

TO: All Commissioners

FROM: Dennis Murphy *DM*
AL Scott Lyden, Bank Robbery Working Group

SUBJECT: Time Served by Base Robbery Offenders under Old Law

This memorandum reports the results of the Bank Robbery Working Group's effort to determine average and median prison time served by robbery offenders under old law for offenses that correspond to the Guideline Base Offense of unarmed robbery of one bank (Level 19, Criminal History Category I). This research supplements data for base offenders included in the statistical analysis reported by David Scheffman in a companion memorandum. The latter analysis relied only on the 1985 Augmented FPSSIS data set. The results discussed here use the full set of FPSSIS data tapes covering the period mid-1984 - mid-1987.

The criteria employed to select base offenders were very stringent. Our goal was to provide the Commission with cases that correspond as closely as possible to a pure base offense. Any offenses that would require mitigating or aggravating adjustments if sentenced under the Guidelines have been excluded. Specifically, the final sample was limited to cases of conviction for unarmed robbery of \$10,000 or less, involving no more than one bank, where the offender was not considered a minor participant or a leader, and where no deaths, injuries, or abductions were reported. All probation cases have also been excluded.

No plea bargains are included in the sample other than those specifically coded in FPSSIS as "no counts reduced". Because of this screen and other consistency checks included in the selection process, we are reasonably confident that the sample cases reflect real offense conduct and that the data therefore are not compromised by armed or multiple robberies that have been pled down to less serious offenses. Appendix 1 presents a more detailed description of the FPSSIS variables that were used to select the final sample of base offenses.

The second phase of this project utilized the Bureau of Prisons "Sentry" data file to determine prison time served by offenders in our sample. For approximately two-thirds of the

cases, time served is based on actual release dates. Presumptive parole release dates were available for almost all remaining cases.¹

Fifty-six cases resolved by plea and five trials survived the screening process for base offense. Table I presents summary data for time served for the entire sample and for pleas and trials individually. In order to facilitate comparisons between time served under old law and Guideline sentences, values for time served have been adjusted for good time allowances. That is, values for time served reported by BOP's Sentry system have been divided by .85, which means that actual time spent in prison can be found by multiplying the Table I values for time served by .85. For example, an offender who served 85 months in prison would have time-served-adjusted-for-good time of 100 months.

TABLE I
Time Served by Robbery Base Offenders
Sentenced 1984-1987

Sample	Number	Average Time Served (months)	Median Time Served (months)	Range
Pleas and Trials	61	31.2	25.1	2.0 - 146.1
Pleas	56	28.39	24.39	2.0 - 146.1
Trials	5	67.75	75.29	25.8 - 95.7

Table I indicates that base offenders who pled guilty with no counts reduced served an average of about 28 months in prison (minus good time) under old law. This corresponds to a guideline sentence toward the top of Offense Level 17, Criminal History

¹ In order to assess the reliability of time served values obtained from Sentry, we also examined Parole Commission records for all trials and a random sample of 10 plea cases. For the ten pleas, release dates in the Parole Commission records were virtually identical to release dates in Sentry. Four of the five trial cases could be located in the Parole Commission data system. As will be discussed in the text, two of these offenders waived parole and are still in prison; there is thus a discrepancy between the BOP presumptive release date and the parole eligibility date indicated in the Parole Commission data. There were no serious discrepancies for the remaining two cases.

Category I (24 - 30 months). To the extent that such offenders would be granted Acceptance of Responsibility under the Guidelines, they would receive a level 17 sentence (19 minus 2). If median time served is used as a reference, prison time under old law is at the bottom of level 17.

Time served for trials is much higher than for pleas. The average figure of almost 68 months corresponds to a Guideline sentence near the middle of Level 26. There are, however, only five offenders in our sample who were sentenced after trial. Further, the five sentences vary widely (25.9 - 95.3 months). Subsequent analysis of Sentry and Parole Commission files for these cases indicates that three of the five offenders were assigned to high-security mental institutions. Two of these individuals waived parole and are still in confinement. Time served based on their current expected release date is 83.5 and 95.3 months (minus good time). Average time served for the remaining three offenders is 53 months.

Given the arguably aberrational nature of the trial sample, we gradually removed limiting selection criteria to increase the number of trial cases while still preserving the core characteristics of a base robbery offense. Table II reveals the results of this process on sample size and mean and median time served.

Table II
Trials: Time Served According to
Restrictiveness of Base Offense Definition

Selection Criteria relaxed	N	Mean Time Served	Median Time Served	Range
None--All Screens Operative	5	67.75	75.29	25.8-95.7
Includes Losses over \$10,000	7	61.07	58.08	25.8-95.7
Includes Minor and Major Roles	12	44.39	39.09	4.2-95.7
Includes Parole Offense Severity other than Level 5*	14	40.22	34.51	4.2-95.7

*This screen is a consistency check on the requirement that the count of conviction was robbery and that no aggravating conduct was involved in the offense (see Appendix I).

It is evident from Table II that very high values for time served are confined to the most restrictive sample. As additional trials are included, mean time served falls to a low of 40 months (Guideline Level 21) for the full sample of 14 trial cases. If the two mental patients who waived parole are excluded from this sample, mean time served is 32.2 months (Level 19). In any event, we are reluctant to draw any conclusions from such a small number of observations, particularly in view of the possibility that cases settled by trial may by their very nature involve atypical circumstances. Thus, the sample of 56 pleas may provide more meaningful information on average time served by base offenders under old law.

APPENDIX I
Selection Criteria for Base Offense Sample

FPSSIS cases were considered base offenses only if they met the following requirements. Numbers in parentheses indicate column numbers in the FPSSIS data field for relevant screening variables.

1. The code of conviction must have been 1100--bank robbery (337-340).
2. The number of prior adult convictions was 0 (132).
3. The number of ongoing criminal acts was Single (91).
4. The value for Weapon (94-95) was either 00 (no weapon, no threat) or 02 (threat, no weapon).
5. Type of Firearm (96) must have been coded None. This is a consistency check on the coding for Weapon.
6. Victim Injured (97) must have been coded 0.
7. Dollar Amount (99-107) must have been no more than \$10,000.
8. Involvement Level (93) must not have been Less Culpable or Leader.
9. Parole Offense Severity (159) must have been coded 5. This is a consistency check on the code of conviction and lack of aggravating circumstances. Parole Offense Severity ratings of less than 5 involve lesser offenses than robbery. Higher ratings involve aggravators such as victims injured or abducted.
10. Plea Bargain (92) must have been N (no counts reduced).
11. Finally, no probation cases were included in the sample.

DRAFT

Draft BANK ROBBERY WORKING GROUP - FIELD SURVEY

C.D. CALIF.

Prosecutor ():

Says L.A. has about 25% of all bank robberies in the country. Concerned that armed bank robbery seems a bit low. Not enough difference between armed and unarmed. He considers unarmed bank robberies NOT very significant, but armed robberies as very significant. Should be several more levels added to enhancement for weapon.

(referred by): bank robbery guideline is also a problem when no weapon is involved. Recommend that the base offense level be raised to 23. On top of that the enhancement for a weapon should be increased from 3 to 4. In addition, she believes that multiple bank robberies are not punished enough, and not at all after five.

However, she does feel that the career offender guideline is a tad onerous on occasion. In addition, she does not feel that a bank robbery without a weapon should count as a career offender offense.

Defender ():

The guidelines are probably comparable to past practice for persons with criminal records, and for those with no records who didn't receive probation. In his view a first offender receiving 24-30 months is not atypical. However, he prefers that the decision on probation versus jail be a separate decision prior to application of the guidelines. In addition, he thinks the guidelines should allow room to give some defendants supervision without a substantial period of custody. A good number of first offenders should have nonprison alternatives. He notes that before the guidelines a significant minority of bank robbers received nonprison alternatives.

is concerned that the career offender provision hammers bank robbers and others far beyond past sentencing practice, because of the mechanical focus on two prior offenses. In his view such a person is not as bad as the guy who has been in and out of prison his whole life. He also believes that a weapon should be required for applicability of career offender to bank robberies. He has an appeal pending in which he contends that robbery without a gun is nonviolent. He suggests that we consider a two step analysis for career offender: 1) require two priors, and 2) require a certain period of incarceration for each prior.

Probation officer ():

Occasionally see a case where the bank robbery guideline seems a little low, but the only discrepancy between the guidelines and the prior system is where the defendant pleads to only some of the bank robberies charged.

For example, under the U.S. Attorney's plea policy you can usually plead to, say, two of six robberies. The result is a significantly lower sentence than past practice. Judges don't seem to depart upward in such cases.

She cited the case before Judge (2-21-89) in which the defendant entered a plea to the reduced charge of bank larceny for a range of 4-8 months. The judge sentenced the defendant to 8 months, declining to follow the P.O.'s advice for an upward departure (to 37 months or so), saying that since the agreement was to bank larceny, he would not impose a sentence that would correspond to a plea to bank robbery. In her view, under old law the case would likely have resulted in a sentence of 1 1/2 years, with about a third served.

Regarding career offender, she is concerned that sometimes two prior offenses are not horrendous enough (such as residential burglaries when no one is home) to make someone a career offender. Possibly should require defendant be armed.

M.D. FLORIDA

Prosecutor ():

Surveyed several of their offices and found widespread support for the view that the base offense level for bank robbery is too low; he proposes and increase in the base to level 24. He said that two judges in Jacksonville () and several judges in Orlando have criticized the offense level as too low, even considering that real time sentences are involved. Historically, judges have given 10-15 years for unarmed bank robbery and 20 or more for armed robbery.

He suggests that the enhancement for use of a weapon be increased to 6 levels from the current 3. He also said that the distinction between "brandishing" and "using" a weapon needs to be clarified. He also recommends that toy weapons should count for the enhancement. A number of prosecutors in his district have been concerned that multiple bank robberies sentenced on the same day count as one offense ("related" offenses), which underrepresents their criminal history and makes more difficult the application of the career offender rules. They had one case where a defendant committed five armed hold-ups of convenience stores but because they were also sentenced on the same day the

career offender provision did not apply. He would prefer that the provision be changed so that fewer upward departures are necessitated.

Defender ():

Bank robbery offense level is appropriate as currently structured. A lot of bank robbers aren't that bad.

Robbery with a weapon is traditionally viewed as a significant crime. In the past the average armed robbery sentence would be about 20-25 years, reduced to 6-8 years by parole and good time. Sentences in the past sounded worse than now because the public didn't understand what the sentences actually meant.

Regarding the career offender provision, he believes that prosecutors should be lobbying to liberalize the prior record requirement to get more bad guys included.

Probation officer ():

Bank robbery guideline is extremely lenient to bank robbers, especially those who do not use a gun, even taking into account the fact that guideline sentences are non-paroleable. Recommends a base offense level of 23 (for a range of 41-51 months after acceptance of responsibility).

In the past the average sentence was twelve years, with parole in four. Unarmed now is about 24-30 months for a first offender. The guideline levels are ok for those with guns and prior records. The career offender provision is fine: "slow learners need rehabilitation."

S.D. FLORIDA

Prosecutor ():

Base offense level for bank robbery too low. Should be 20. In addition, if the person used a gun, the enhancement should add an additional 60 months (to correspond to 924(c)), or about 6 more levels than the current 3 level enhancement. If a weapon is discharged during the offense the enhancement should be 13 levels to a level 37, instead of the current 5 level enhancement. In her view, using a weapon during the offense makes it a far more serious offense, not reflected in the current enhancement.

Defender ():

Generally likes the bank robbery levels where they are. In some cases they are real good from the defendant's standpoint,

e.g. no weapon, first offender, small amount of money is relatively low, and appropriately so. However, often bank robbers have done prior crimes that jack up their offense level.

The enhancements to the base offense level work pretty well. Not sure should increase the offense level based on the money stolen because luck is too big a factor in a typical bank robbery.

Believes career offender is okay regarding bank robbery EXCEPT that for robberies to count should have to possess a weapon.

Probation officer ():

Notwithstanding the perception of many that bank robbery is too low, he believes it is appropriate in terms of the real jail time served and the significance of supervised release. He also believes it is higher than the parole system in which a Category V resulted in 24-36 months.

The guidelines represent a cultural shock because robbery is associated with Bonnie and Clyde mythology; the reality is very different.

Is not troubled by counting unarmed bank robberies under career offender provision.

N.D. ILLINOIS

Prosecutor ():

Offense level seems a bit low. Supports the proposed six level increase in the base offense level. Hasn't focussed on the enhancements because when a firearm is involved his office always charges 924(c). He is satisfied with the current application career offender to bank robberies.

Defender ():

His office feels the bank robbery guideline is about right. However, when career offender is applied in bank robbery context, it's too high--particularly where there is a nonviolent, unarmed bank robbery involved as the instant or a prior offense.

Probation officer ():

While only a few cases to date, doesn't see a need to raise the offense levels for bank robbery at this time. Most cases they see have injury and/or use of a firearm and prior record, which provide reasonable and appropriate enhancements.

D. KANSAS

Prosecutor ():

Generally, the bank robbery offense level is a little too low, compared to past practice. The problem is particularly significant with respect to multiple bank robberies; multiple robberies aren't punished enough.

The criminal history undercounts prior robberies by counting different robberies consolidated for sentencing as ONE offense.

Defender ():

Satisfied with the offense levels, but not surprised that prosecutors have problems with them. When take into account possible enhancements, believes there is sufficient sentencing flexibility. His judges are sentencing at the upper end of the ranges, even on pleas.

While he is a little surprised by the levels on armed robbery, he thinks the increases are adequate. In addition, he feels that there should be some provision for diminishing the impact when multiple enhancements are applicable (such as weapon AND role). He agrees with the Commission view that a toy gun should NOT qualify for the weapon enhancement. He feels that a toy gun does not pose the same threat as a real gun.

Probation officer ():

Maybe a little low compared to prior sentences. Dismissed robberies aren't counted under the guidelines but were under the parole guidelines. Guidelines underpunish defendants for those.

says the guideline doesn't fully give weight to the distinction between a note job and an armed robbery. He recommends that the base offense level remain as it is but that the 3 level enhancement for use of a gun be increased to at least 8 levels. He also recommends that a toy gun count for purposes of giving the enhancement.

D. MARYLAND

Prosecutor ():

Bank robbery guidelines is vastly inappropriate; level 18 is much too low. Recommends a 6 level increase to about 24 or 25.

Typical case he sees is a note job. Pre-guidelines would have routinely gotten 15 years, to serve 6 or 7. Also concerned

that criminal history calculation underrepresents seriousness of record by counting multiple bank robberies consolidated for sentencing as one offense.

Defender ():

Bank robbery is working out well, compared to drug offenses. The guideline is a tad lighter than previous sentences, maybe one or two levels or so light. The proposed increase of six levels is way out of line.

Concerned that the Commission is taking a few areas and upping the ante, based on complaints from prosecutors, and is doing nothing about guidelines that represent a significant increase in past practice. The Commission is starting to seem like the "Step 'N Fetchit" of the Justice Department.

Regarding career offender, concerned that the Commission too literally follows statute by clinging to "crime of violence" rather than using judgment about what offenses should qualify for career offender treatment. He believes a weapon should be required for an offense to qualify. He has a case where a person became a career offender with two misdemeanor assault convictions as his priors, because the potential state punishment was over a year. That's too easy, in his view, to become a "career offender."

Probation officer ():

Doesn't see a problem with the base offense level for bank robbery. Is concerned that the weapon and injury enhancements may be a little light compared to the parole guidelines.

D. MASSACHUSETTS

Prosecutor ():

Problem with bank robbery guideline is that they lost some of the heftier sentences. Even accounting for parole, the guideline sentences are still a little less. Particular problem at the lower end for single count cases. They mostly deal in multiple robberies so it is not as much a practical as a theoretical problem for them.

Believes weapon enhancement should be changed to INCLUDE fake weapons, toy guns, and the like.

Defender ():

Satisfied with current levels for bank robbery. His office gets few single case bank robberies with no prior record so it is

difficult to comment on that kind of case. Most of his defendants have long records and are career offenders or commit multiple bank robberies.

Feels that the career offender provision gives sentences that are generally too high. Would prefer if career offender did not apply to note jobs, and would like acceptance of responsibility make applicable in a meaningful way to career offenders.

Probation officer ():

General feeling is that bank robbery is low, even accounting for parole. Defenders are happy with it; it is relatively lower than other guidelines. Recommends a base offense level of 22.

If don't raise the base offense level, recommends raising the enhancement for using a weapon. Also, clarify meaning of (2)(B) "otherwise use" regarding weapon use.

E.D. MICHIGAN

Prosecutor ():

Recommends increase the base offense level to 20, and making the enhancement for a weapon a 4 or 5 level increase, instead of 3 levels. Feels that brandishing a toy weapon should result in an increase in the offense level, but a smaller increase than a real weapon. Is comfortable with the application of career offender to unarmed bank robberies.

Defender ():

The bank robbery guideline is about right, except that the system needs some flexibility to give probation in the exceptional case. Guidelines lack that personalized sentencing feature of the past.

Must make clearer to the public that this is more real time than the old system. Sounds significantly less but when analyze the real time served it is not significantly less.

Believes career offender is s problem. Doesn't like the fact that the judge must sentences near the top. Also, should require a weapon use for career offender to apply. As currently structured, career offender is like an octopus.

Probation officer ():

Robbery guideline is too low. Recommends an increase in the base to level 27. Also feels that the enhancement for

brandishing a firearm should be increased from a 3 to a 5 level enhancement.

E.D. NEW YORK

Prosecutor ()

District does so few unarmed bank robberies that he has no feel for the appropriateness of the offense level for unarmed. As for armed he feels that a three level enhancement for brandishing a weapon is not sufficient and should be increased by an additional level or two. He also feels that use of a toy weapon should be an aggravating factor, though possibly not as much as for a real weapon.

In the past his judges have generally sentenced armed bank robbers to around 12 years with about (a guess) 5-6 years served. His office has generally not charged 924(c) counts because of past DOJ policy on limited use and that sparing use has continued, though he feels it may change based on direction from main DOJ.

Regarding career offender, he thinks that there should be a distinction between armed and unarmed bank robbery so that note jobs are not counted.

Defender ():

Satisfied with offense levels for bank robbery. Of the 10 cases they have pending in their office, the minimum guideline sentence is 22 years. He think that is enough. In 17 years he has had only one defendant with no prior and who committed a note job. That defendant got a one year sentence because of the special circumstances.

He believes that the ability of a judge to depart upward for special circumstances gives sufficient upper end sentencing flexibility that offense levels do not need to be increased. Nothing will be accomplished by boosting the ante. As it is he says they now have trials rather than pleas in bank robbery cases because there is not enough incentive to plead, particularly for career offenders.

Probation officer ():

Guideline for bank robbery is just fine. The base offense level is appropriate and the add-ons are good. Career offender as applied to bank robbery is a rare bird, but not too severe.

S.D. NEW YORK

Prosecutor (): [Surveying his office, will get back to us the week of April 3]

Defender ():

There are instances when the guideline for bank robbery is too low to suit a prosecutor's tastes, but the level is about right. People are used to hearing long sentences, without focussing on the parole guidelines. Punishment for a note job bank robbery of about 3 or more years under the guidelines is NOT lenient. There needs to be more flexibility for less restrictive punishment for some nonviolent first offenders.

The problem with the bank robbery guideline is that it doesn't adequately distinguish between the poor pathetic note job bank robber and the guy who puts people in danger. The enhancements for use of a weapon don't sufficiently reflect the significance of the threat to people who walk in with guns. They are sufficiently punished if the prosecutor charges 924(c) and the defendant gets a consecutive five years. There needs to be a greater differential between pointing a gun and firing a gun than 3 versus 5 levels.

Probation officer ():

No real objection to the current level. There has been some concern about relevant conduct in evaluating the impact of the plea agreement when bank robberies are dismissed. However, he feels that even though dismissed robberies do not count, the levels are high enough to fairly reflect past practice. He concedes that the Northeast has been lenient on sentencing bank robbers.

W.D. NO. CAROLINA

Prosecutor ():

Generally views bank robbery -- particularly where there is no criminal history -- as unusually low. Believes the base offense level should be raised to about level 21-22. He cites a case in which a defendant faces a 24-30 month sentence, even though he terrorized bank employees. Ashcraft is giving thought to referring bank robberies for state prosecution because of the lenity of the guidelines as applied to bank robberies.

A specific case attracted Ashcraft's concern about the disparate impact in sentencing that can result because of the career offender provision. In the case (), sentenced by Judge on October 25, 1988, four robbers

received sentences of 46 months, 30 months, 33 months and 120 months. The longer sentence for the leader of the robbery who carried a gun, represented a downward departure from the applicable career offender provision, that called for 210 to 262 months. The government moved for a downward departure based on substantial assistance because of the extreme disparity in treatment of the four defendants.

He believes these defendants would have faced 10-14 years before the guidelines, with the gunmen facing 15-19 years. In terms of time served, he believes they would have served 3-4 years (36-48 months), 5-7 (60-84 months) if they carried a gun.

Defender: no federal defender in this district.

Probation officer ():

Bank robbery guideline is too low.

Without any enhancements there's very little punishment for a bank robbery. And most bank robberies don't involve enhancements: note jobs without a weapon, little money stolen, no one touched or injured.

cited the case (# and # ; Judge , sentencing 7-25-88) to illustrate his concern about sentences being lower under the guidelines. In that case the plea agreement involved a plea to one count of bank robbery and one count of bank larceny (reduced from two counts of bank robbery). The guideline range was 27-33 months; the sentence imposed was 31 months as recommended by the P.O. because the previous bank robbery of the defendant was eleven years earlier and the judge is not high on departures (and the P.O. learned in training that he should shoot for the middle of the range as his recommended sentence).

The pre-guideline sentence would have been about 15 years based on a recommendation by the P.O. of a sentence of 10-15 years. The time served would have been about 6-7 years (60-84 months). The P.O. was very surprised by how low the range was for this defendant under the guidelines. Recommends an enhancement for prior SIMILAR offenses. He notes that it is better to rob two banks than sell 1/2 kilo of cocaine.

D. OREGON

Prosecutor ():

The guidelines are a little low, a little less than before, even accounting for parole.

Oregon is the per capita bank robbery leader in the country. Prior to the guidelines the average bank robber received an average of 13 years, a multiple offender, 13-18 years. Accounting for parole that comes to about 40-60 months time served. The guidelines only increase a couple of levels for a series of bank robberies; it is not enough of an increase.

Would like to see some enhancement for threats, or pretending to have a gun, or using a toy gun.

Recommends that career offender parallel the career criminal statutes that require THREE predicate offenses and the use of a weapon.

Defender ():

Thinks the current offense level for an unarmed bank robbery is too harsh. But compared to the guidelines, generally, it is the closest to fairly representing the appropriate level for the average bank robber. Would like to see the availability of probation for all first time unarmed bank robbers.

Does believe that some enhancement is appropriate for use of a weapon, but won't comment on what precise level is appropriate. Also believes that in a substantial number of cases it is appropriate that a toy gun not qualify for the weapon enhancement. Regarding the applicability of career offender to bank robbery does not believe it should apply to nonviolent "violent offenses" such as unarmed bank robbery. Thinks the career offender provision generally is "one of the most outrageous provisions in the guidelines that will result in trials in virtually every case.

Probation officer ():

Robbery guideline could be a little higher, but not by much. It is pretty close to our experience before the guidelines. Recommends an increase in the base offense level to 21. In addition, while he does not have a solution to propose, he feels the incremental punishment for additional bank robberies is not significant enough. He notes that his district had about 350 bank robberies last year.

Regarding the career offender provision as applied to bank robberies, he feels bank robbery is appropriately considered a violent offense. He notes that while there may be some occasions when it is too strict, the judge can usually find a basis for departing downward if it is justified.

E.D. PENNSYLVANIA

Prosecutor ():

Bank robbery has not posed problems to date. Have had several cases and not disappointed by the results.

Defender ():

Level for armed bank robbery is about right. Unarmed bank robbery is much too high -- for the pitiful was the robberies are committed. The guidelines represent a Draconian increase in penalty for unarmed, nonviolent bank robbers. Recommends a base offense level of 11 or 12 before acceptance of responsibility.

Under old law such an offender would have received 2-3 years at most, with some getting probation and some receiving 3-4 years. The average time served would have been about 8-10 months.

Probation officer ()

Recommends that the base offense level be raised to 23. If it is raised then the enhancement for brandishing a weapon is fine; otherwise, it should be raised significantly. Most of the bank robberies in her district are note jobs and usually involve a string of robberies. In the past defendants have received about a ten year sentence, of which 5-6 years would be served.

Regarding career offender, she is concerned that including unarmed bank robberies may be too inclusive, given the often pathetic nature of the note-job bank robber. "Not many of these people are John Dillinger."

SOUTH CAROLINA

Prosecutor (): [surveying offices, awaiting his response]

Defender ():

Some of the judges are pretty upset by the offense levels for bank robbery. Expects that most cases will be referred to the state for prosecution because there is a mandatory minimum of seven years for an armed bank robbery (parole after about 5 years).

Feels the offense level for unarmed bank robbery is about right because it is a very different offense than when someone uses a weapon. In his district they often have persons rob banks

with notes that are basically street people who do not pose any real danger. He would suggest an enhancement where the person claims to have a gun or bomb even though it is not visible. He suggests a 3 level increase for such a threat, with 2 additional levels (total of 5) for displaying a weapon. Recommends that a toy gun be treated the same as a real one because of its effect on the fear of the victim and increased danger from someone reacting to the apparent presence of a gun.

Regarding career offender, he does not feel that an unarmed bank robbery is a crime of violence, so it should not be counted for career offender.

Probation officer ():

Base offense level for bank robbery is too low. Recommends a base of 25 with a 5 level enhancement for use of a weapon (instead of the current 3 levels). Believes career offender as applied to bank robbery is appropriate.

M.D. TENNESSEE

Prosecutor ():

Bank robbery is too low based on past practice. Recommends a range of 37 or more months AFTER acceptance of responsibility as more appropriate than the current level; specifically, recommends that the base offense level be increased to 23 or 24. Also recommends that for armed bank robbery the range should be at least 60 months. Part of the concern about some guideline sentences being too low is a perception problem; getting the public educated to the fact that the guidelines call for real time sentences.

Has a concern that punishment does not increase quickly enough for recidivist.

Defender ():

Bank robbery guideline fairly accurately represents bank robbery in the greater scheme of things. He thinks the inclusion of dollar loss as an enhancement may be potentially arbitrary because of the significance of luck.

Concerned about impact of career offender on bank robbery. In the case pending before Judge , a potential plea bargain is in jeopardy because the applicability of career offender could raise the sentence from 63 to 263 or more months. The case may go to trial when it would otherwise have been disposed of with a plea. If the case had been handled at the state level the defendant would have faced 20-40 years, but the

career offender provision makes the sentence higher than the state life sentence that permits parole after 20 years.

He is also concerned that the two priors were fairly old, 1979 and 1980 and that offenses that did not involve weapons are to be included. He doesn't think "violent offenses" should be read to include burglaries and note job bank robberies.

Probation officer ():

No complaints about bank robbery guideline. Not enough experience yet.

S.D. TEXAS

Prosecutor ():

Recommends increasing the base offense level to 26. Bank robberies are a tremendous problem in his district ("we have them out the nose"). Also recommends increasing the enhancement for use of a weapon to an 11 level increase, to more appropriately move toward a sentence before the guidelines of 25 years to life time served.

Recommends an enhancement for use of a toy weapon, and a larger increase for use of a real weapon. Does not believe career offender should be applicable if there is no weapon, no violence or express threat of violence.

Defender ():

Prior law cases are stiffer than under the guidelines. The guidelines let people out too soon. A base offense level 24 would be more appropriate.

Most bank robberies prosecuted federally in his district are aggravated robberies (with a firearm). In the past the first aggravated robbery would mean a sentence of about 10 years, with parole after 40 months for the exceptional case. The guidelines let everyone out too early.

Probation officer ():

Says bank robbery is a little low, although he concedes that part of the problem is that people are not getting used to the significance of a real time sentence. Recommends a couple of levels increase in the base offense level. If the base is raised, then the enhancements for weapons will be adequate. He believes that the weapon enhancement should apply to a toy gun if it is apparently real. Career offender is fine as is.

E.D. VIRGINIA

Prosecutor ():

says the guideline offense level is too light by a couple of levels. says he is alone in his office in believing the guideline is not too light given that the guideline sentences are virtually real time.

According to , before the guidelines a non-weapon bank robbery would have received about 15-20 years, with about half actually served (7 1/2 to 10 years); an aggravated robbery, about 20 years, with at least half and possibly as much as 2/3 served. In his view the guidelines call for sentences considerably less than that. In addition, is concerned that each additional robbery is only about a one level increase and that after 5 or 6 robberies the guidelines don't provide for an incremental punishment (absent departure).

referred me to who is currently dealing with a two district multi-robbery case. Defendant faces sentencing by Judge in late March on a plea to three of five Virginia robberies. The U.S. Attorney's office refused a defense request for a Rule 20 in connection with a guilty plea in Maryland to two of five charged robberies. There, the defendant was sentenced to 30 months under the guidelines. Justice was concerned that agreeing to the Rule 20 would have resulted in too lenient a sentence because of the multiple count grouping rules and the slow increase in punishment for additional bank robberies. has been instructed to argue that the Virginia sentence should run CONSECUTIVE to the Maryland sentence even though the result of a Rule 20 proceeding would have been more lenient than that. wonders whether this decision should be up to prosecutors.

Probation officer ():

Offense level is way low for bank robbery, particularly in comparison to the penalty for drug offenses. He would like to see the base offense level increased to the 27-32 range.

He points out that in the past a first offender who commits a note job would have received about an 18 year sentence, and would have served at least 6 years (60 months).

He mentioned the case (mentioned above) as illustrative of the problem. There the defendant committed robberies in Maryland and Virginia and the government refused to permit consolidation via Rule 20. He points out that even if the Virginia sentence is imposed consecutive to the one in Maryland, which he expects, the total sentence will only be about 60 months. Before the guidelines a similar defendant would have

received about 20 years and served (most likely) 9 years. Because of the multiple count rules and the fact that the prosecutors permitted several counts to be dismissed, the guideline range was only 30-37 months.

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION
1331 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW
SUITE 1400
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004
(202) 662-8800

William W. Wilkins, Jr. Chairman
Michael K. Block
Stephen G. Breyer
Helen G. Corrothers
George E. MacKinnon
Irene H. Nagel
Benjamin F. Baer (ex officio)
Ronald L. Garner (ex officio)



March 29, 1989

TO: All Commissioners
FROM: David Scheffman^{PS} (for the Bank Robbery Working Group)
SUBJECT: Analysis of Past Practice from FY 1985 Augmented FPSSIS

The purpose of this memorandum is to present some data and analyses of offenders convicted of bank robbery drawn from the FY 1985 augmented FPSSIS data. We have deliberately not drawn any specific conclusions from our analysis. Instead, we provide basic information about past practice in a form that will (hopefully) allow the Commission to determine the relationship between past practice and the Guidelines.

I. Introduction to the Data

The FY 1985 augmented FPSSIS data were used in the original ("Rhodes") analysis of past practice. With the cooperation of the Bureau of Prisons and the Parole Commission, we have updated that data, in order to have a greater number of accurate estimates of actual time served. We use as our estimates of actual time served the presumptive release date as determined by a Parole Commission hearing for the offender, or the offender's actual release date from prison (release, or release to parole or halfway house). Offenders in the augmented FPSSIS for whom we could not obtain presumptive or actual release dates are not included in our sample. In addition, we dropped offenders identified as being convicted of "conspiracy" or "accessory," or whose instant conviction involved non-bank-

robbery counts (including 924c). Therefore, our sample consists of offenders in the FY 1985 augmented FPSSIS who, as far as can be determined, were convicted of only bank robbery(ies). I will call this data the Bank Robbery Task Force, Version 0 (BRTF0) data set.

This memorandum presents an analysis of this task force data (BRTF0).

We are currently working with the Parole Commission to see if we can further refine our data to get a more complete or more accurate set of release dates for the FY 1985 augmented FPSSIS sample. We expect to report an analysis of any updated data to the Commission during the week of April 3. However, I do not believe that the updated data will result in major changes in the analyses that will be presented here.

II. Introduction to the Analyses

The purpose of the analyses presented here is to compare past practice, as summarized by the BRTF0 data set, with Guidelines sentences. In order to facilitate comparisons with the Guidelines Sentencing Table, we have inflated our time served estimates from past practice to correct for the 15% good time provision in the Guidelines. For example, for an offender in the BRTF0 data set who served 85 months or actual prison time, the time-served-corrected-for-good-time would be 100 months (reflecting the fact that under the Guidelines, an offender sentenced to 100 months would actually serve 85 months if he received the standard good time credits). In what follows, when we refer to time served, we mean time served corrected for good time.

A. The Basic Offense Analysis

We have conducted two basic types of analyses. The purpose of the first is to identify types of basic offenses in BRTF0 data set, and to

compare past practice to the Guidelines sentence for these basic offenses. I will call this the Basic Offense Analysis. A basic offense involves robbery of one bank, where the offender was unarmed, or if armed, did not use or discharge the weapon (i.e., an armed basic offender only possessed, displayed, or brandished a weapon), did not injure anyone, did not take a hostage or physically restrain anyone, and was not a minor participant in the robbery. An unarmed basic offense would, if the loss associated with the robbery was not greater than \$10,000, have a Offense Level of 19 under the Guidelines, if the offender received no credit for acceptance of responsibility. An armed basic offense would, if the loss associated with the robbery was not greater than \$10,000, have an Offense Level of 22 under the Guidelines, if the offender received no credit for acceptance of responsibility.

The purpose of concentrating first on basic offenses is to try to compare past practice with the Guidelines without the complications of significant aggravators or mitigators, other than the simplest weapon category. The augmented FPSSIS data does not allow us to identify basic offenders perfectly. For example, our criterion for one bank robbed was that the "Events" variable in augmented FPSSIS was coded with a value of 1, which is not likely to be a perfect indicator that one and only one bank was robbed. Nonetheless, we believe that the sample of basic offenses drawn from the BRTF0 data is a reasonable representation of basic offenders. We will also present data on offenses that met all our criteria for being classed as basic offenses, except that more than one (real offense) bank robbery may have been involved, i.e, for offenses for which the Events variable took on the values of 1-9.

B. The All Offense Analysis

By construction, the basic offense analysis cannot get at the importance of major aggravators (except for possession, display or brandishing a weapon) or mitigators (other than a plea) in past practice, or provide a comparison with how these aggravators or mitigators (complicated offenses) are treated under the Guidelines. Unfortunately, we have too little data in order to provide much precision in identifying how complicated offenses were treated in past practice. Nonetheless, we will provide a comparison of time served with estimated Guidelines sentences for samples that include complicated offenses. In addition, by the time of the Commission Meeting we hope to have some simple statistical (regression) estimates that attempt to quantify the importance of some of the major aggravators and mitigators.

III. The Basic Offense Analysis

The basis of this analysis is a number of tables of data, attached to the cover sheet titled **BASIC OFFENSE DATA ANALYSIS**. These tables contain simple cross-tabulations from our basic offense data set. The first set of tables is taken from Data Set C_X , which is the data for all basic single event offenses, including those offenders who were sentenced to probation. There are 256 offenders in the C_X data set. In the first page of tables based on the C_X data, the upper part of the page presents data on basic unarmed offenses in which the loss was no more than \$10,000, and the offender was convicted at trial. The lower part of the page presents data on similar offenses for which the charge was resolved by a plea. The **MEAN** and **MEDIAN** are of time-served-corrected-for-good-time (that we will denote by the acronym **FT**). The entry **ST. DEV.** is the standard deviation of **FT**, and **RANGE** is the range of **FT**. Finally, **N** is the number of offenders in each category. The entries **EVNT.MN.** and **EVNT.RNG.** variables relating to

the number of events, which in the C_X data set are equal to 1, so those rows are blank.

An offender was denoted as **ARMED** if the augmented FPSSIS data indicated that the real offense involved possession, display, or brandishing a weapon. The loss categories, $LOSS \leq \$10,000$, and $LOSS > \$10,000$, were taken from the augmented FPSSIS loss numbers.

The meaning of the headings of the columns in the tables is: **ALL** represents the summary of all offenders in the given category (e.g., unarmed, no more than \$10,000, convicted at trial); the Roman numerals **I-VI** represent Guideline criminal history categories. Criminal history categories were created from the augmented FPSSIS data using the Prison Impact Model. Dennis Murphy will be reporting on the accuracy of that method of determining criminal history categories.

The next set of tables drawn from the Basic Offense Data is based on the D_X data set. The D_X data removes the offenders who were sentenced to probation from the C_X data set. There are 184 offenders in the D_X data set (so, there were 72 offenders in the C_X data set who were sentenced to probation).

The **APPENDIX TO THE BASIC OFFENSE DATA ANALYSIS** has eight more sets of tables. The **C** data set is for basic offenses that involved one or more events. As you can see, the variables **EVNT.MN.** and **EVNT.RNG.** now have data entries. **EVNT.MN.** is the mean number of events for each category. **EVNT.RNG.** is the range of the number of events in each category.

Finally, the **D** data set removes offenders sentenced to probation from the **C** data set.

In principle, some of the entries in the tables for data sets C_X and D_X can be easily compared with Guideline sentences. For example, of those offenders convicted at trial, all those for which the loss was no more than \$10,000 would receive a Guidelines offense level of 19 if they were unarmed and 22 if they were armed. Offenders whose charges were settled by pleas can also be compared, if some assumption is made about whether or not acceptance of responsibility would be given under the Guidelines.

The next set of tables drawn from the Basic Offense Data in the Appendix provides a comparison of time served (corrected for good time) (FT) and Guideline sentence (GT) for data sets C and D. This is done for the purpose of comparing past practice and the Guidelines under the assumption that each Event is a conviction charge of bank robbery. The categories in these tables are labeled, and appear in the same order as they appeared in the earlier table. To understand the categories: U means unarmed, A means armed (weapon possessed, displayed, or brandished), $L \leq 10K$ means loss was no greater than \$10,000, $L > 10K$ means loss greater than \$10,000, T means that the offender was convicted at trial, and P means that the case was resolved by plea. For example, the first category, $U, L \leq 10K/T$ is the category of unarmed offenders for which the loss was not greater than \$10,000. The Guideline sentences (GT) presented in these tables were derived from the augmented FPSSIS data, using the Prison Impact Model. (Again, Dennis Murphy will discuss the accuracy of these Guideline sentence calculations). For each offender, we took the midpoint of the Guideline range for his predicted Guideline sentence without applying the Career Offender provision. For cases resolved by plea, we applied a two level (acceptance of responsibility) discount.

Our final examination of past practice involves the Career Offender provision. We have identified probable career offenders in our data sets. This involved applying the career offender provision to the relevant augmented FPSSIS data. However, we did not count a past conviction for burglary as a relevant conviction, because we cannot distinguish commercial and residential burglaries in our data. In our total sample (A) of 518 offenders, we identified 119 (23%) likely career offenders in this manner. The last set of table in the Appendix compare FT and GT for data sets C_X*, D_X*, C*, and D*, which are the same as data sets C_X, D_X, C, and D, except that probable career offenders have been removed.

IV. The All Offense Analysis

A. Comparison of Time Served and Guideline Sentence

The basis of this analysis is the tables attached to the cover sheet **ALL OFFENSE ANALYSIS - COMPARISON OF FT AND GT.** The tables here are similar to the last two sets of tables from the Appendix of the Basic Offense Data that compare time served and Guideline sentence for data sets C and D. (See description of those tables above). Data A is our full set of offenders, i.e., it includes offenders for which significant aggravators (e.g., injury, or weapon used), or mitigators (e.g., minor participant) were present. Data B removes from data set A those offenders sentenced to probation. Again, in computing Guidelines sentences for cases resolved by plea, a two level reduction was taken, reflecting possible application of acceptance of responsibility.

In the APPENDIX TO THE ALL OFFENSE ANALYSIS, as in the Basic Offense Analysis, we removed probable career offenders (again, not counting burglary) from data sets A and B, giving us data sets A* and B*. The set

of tables in the Appendix compares FT and GT for those two data sets.

DATA KEY

A: Full Data Set (all offenses), N=520

B: Full Data Set Without Offenders Sentenced to Probation, N=474

C: All "Basic Offenses," But Not Requiring Events=1), N=426

D: Data Set C Without Offenders Sentenced to Probation, N=393

C_X: Data Set C, But Requiring Events=1, N=256

D_X: Data Set D, But Requiring Events=1, N=237

*: Data sets with a * are the same as un-*'ed data sets, except probable career offenders have been removed. For example, A* is the A data set with probable career offenders removed. The number of offenders in the *'ed data sets are: A*: N=399, B*: N=365, C*: N=319, D*: N=293, C_X*: N=199, D_X*: N=184.

ACRONYM KEY

FT: Time served corrected for Good Time

GT: Guideline Sentence

N: Number of Offenders in given category

U: Unarmed*

A: Armed

T: Convicted at Trial

P: Case resolved by Plea

$L \leq 10K$: Loss no greater than \$10,000

$L \geq 10K$: Loss greater than \$10,000

$U, L \leq 10K/T$: Unarmed, Loss no greater than \$10,000, convicted at trial

$U, L \leq 10K/P$: Unarmed, Loss no greater than \$10,000, case resolved by Plea

$A, L \leq 10K/T$: Armed, Loss no greater than \$10,000, convicted at trial

$A, L \leq 10K/P$: Armed, Loss no greater than \$10,000, case resolved by Plea

* For data sets C, D, C_x, and D_x, armed means a real offense involving possession, display, or brandishing a weapon. For data sets A and B, armed means a real offense involving possession, display, brandishing, using, or discharging a weapon.

BASIC OFFENSE DATA ANALYSIS

C_x DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Cx

Unarmed, $\leq 10K$ /Trial

(U, L ≤ 10 /T) ALL

		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>64</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>84</u>
MEDIAN	<u>72</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>84</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>35</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>14</u>
RANGE	<u>0-143</u>	<u>32-45</u>	<u>0-72</u>	<u>31-74</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-94</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
N	<u>13</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>

/Plea

(U, L ≤ 10 /P) ALL

		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>47</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>71</u>
MEDIAN	<u>39</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>73</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>34</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>33</u>
RANGE	<u>0-145</u>	<u>0-73</u>	<u>0-123</u>	<u>0-79</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>30-145</u>	<u>0-113</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
N	<u>107</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>11</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Cx

Armed, $\leq 10K$ /Trial

(A. L ≤ 10 /T)	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>86</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>110</u>
MEDIAN	<u>82</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>110</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>17</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
RANGE	<u>70-110</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>70-83</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
EVNT. MN.	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
EVNT. RNG.	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
N	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>

/Plea	(A. L<10/P)	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>51</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>66</u>	
MEDIAN	<u>42</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>63</u>	
ST. DEV.	<u>30</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>50</u>	
RANGE	<u>0-160</u>	<u>0-108</u>	<u>27-120</u>	<u>5-79</u>	<u>0-76</u>	<u>32-80</u>	<u>0-160</u>	
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
N	<u>83</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Cx

Unarmed, >10K/Trial

(U, L>10/T) ALL

		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>47</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>—</u>
MEDIAN	<u>32</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>—</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>28</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>29-80</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>30-32</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>

/Plea

(U, L>10/P) ALL

		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>22</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
MEDIAN	<u>22</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>24</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>0-70</u>	<u>0-41</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Cx

Armed, >10K/Trial

(A. L>10/T) ALL

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>81</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>—</u>
MEDIAN	<u>92</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>—</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>44</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>

/Plea

(A. L>10/P) ALL

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>54</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>130</u>
MEDIAN	<u>37</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>151</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>41</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>52</u>
RANGE	<u>0-168</u>	<u>0-76</u>	<u>0-79</u>	<u>37-115</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>69-75</u>	<u>72-160</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>29</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Cx

All Trials

<u>(ALL T)</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>70</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>93</u>
MEDIAN	<u>74</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>94</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>36</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>18</u>
RANGE	<u>0-143</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>0-72</u>	<u>31-127</u>	<u>30-79</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-110</u>
EVNT.MN.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
EVNT.RNG.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
N	<u>28</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>

All Pleas

<u>(ALL P)</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>48</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>77</u>
MEDIAN	<u>41</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>72</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>34</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>46</u>
RANGE	<u>0-168</u>	<u>0-109</u>	<u>0-123</u>	<u>0-115</u>	<u>0-123</u>	<u>30-145</u>	<u>0-168</u>
EVNT.MN.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
EVNT.RNG.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
N	<u>228</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>22</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Cx

Unarmed/Trial
(U/T)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>61</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>84</u>
MEDIAN	<u>68</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>84</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>34</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>14</u>
RANGE	<u>0-143</u>	<u>32-45</u>	<u>0-72</u>	<u>31-74</u>	<u>30-79</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-94</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
N	<u>16</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>

Unarmed/Plea
(U/P)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>45</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>71</u>
MEDIAN	<u>39</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>73</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>34</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>33</u>
RANGE	<u>0-145</u>	<u>0-74</u>	<u>0-123</u>	<u>0-79</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>30-145</u>	<u>0-113</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
N	<u>116</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>11</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Cx

Armed/Trial (A/T)	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>83</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>110</u>
MEDIAN	<u>82</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>110</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>36</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70-127</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>81-114</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>12</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>

Armed/Plea (A/P)	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>52</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>84</u>
MEDIAN	<u>42</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>69</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>33</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>56</u>
RANGE	<u>0-168</u>	<u>0-109</u>	<u>0-120</u>	<u>5-115</u>	<u>0-76</u>	<u>32-80</u>	<u>0-161</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>112</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Cx

Loss $\leq$ 10K/Trial
(L < 10 T)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>69</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>93</u>
MEDIAN	<u>74</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>94</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>33</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>18</u>
RANGE	<u>0-143</u>	<u>32-45</u>	<u>0-72</u>	<u>31-83</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-11</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>17</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>

/Plea
(L < 10/P)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>49</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>69</u>
MEDIAN	<u>41</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>70</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>33</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>40</u>
RANGE	<u>0-160</u>	<u>0-108</u>	<u>0-123</u>	<u>0-79</u>	<u>0-123</u>	<u>30-145</u>	<u>0-16</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>190</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>19</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Cx

Loss > 10K/Trial

<u>(L>10/T)</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>72</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>-</u>
MEDIAN	<u>74</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>-</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>42</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>-</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>29-68</u>	<u>80-114</u>	<u>-</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
N	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>

/Plea

<u>(L>10/P)</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>47</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>130</u>
MEDIAN	<u>37</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>151</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>40</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>52</u>
RANGE	<u>0-168</u>	<u>0-76</u>	<u>0-79</u>	<u>37-115</u>	<u>70-72</u>	<u>69-75</u>	<u>72-160</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
N	<u>38</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>

D_x DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: DxUnarmed, $\leq 10K$ /Trial
(U, L ≤ 10 /T) ALL

		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>69</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>84</u>
MEDIAN	<u>73</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>84</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>31</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>14</u>
RANGE	<u>31-143</u>	<u>32-45</u>	<u>64-72</u>	<u>31-74</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-94</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>12</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>

/Plea
(U, L ≤ 10 /P)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>50</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>78</u>
MEDIAN	<u>42</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>73</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>33</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>25</u>
RANGE	<u>1-145</u>	<u>1-74</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>21-79</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>30-145</u>	<u>34-113</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>100</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>10</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: D_x

Armed, $\leq 10K$ /Trial

<u>(A. L≤ 10/T)</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>86</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>110</u>
MEDIAN	<u>82</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>110</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>17</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>70-110</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70-83</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>

<u>(A. L≤ 10/P)</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>55</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>76</u>
MEDIAN	<u>66</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>66</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>46</u>
RANGE	<u>5-160</u>	<u>5-107</u>	<u>27-120</u>	<u>5-79</u>	<u>32-76</u>	<u>32-80</u>	<u>26-160</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>77</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Dx

Unarmed, >10K/Trial
(U, L>10/T) ALL

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>47</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>—</u>
MEDIAN	<u>32</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>—</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>28</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>29-80</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>29-32</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>

/Plea
(U, L>10/P) ALL

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>29</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
MEDIAN	<u>32</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>23</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>3-70</u>	<u>3-41</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Dx

Armed, >10K/Trial

(A, L>10/T) ALL

		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>81</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>—</u>
MEDIAN	<u>92</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>—</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>44</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>

/Plea
(A, L>10/P)

ALL

		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>60</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>130</u>
MEDIAN	<u>67</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>151</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>39</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>52</u>
RANGE	<u>5-168</u>	<u>5-76</u>	<u>75-79</u>	<u>37-115</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>69-75</u>	<u>72-168</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>26</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Dx

All Trials
(ALL T)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>73</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>93</u>
MEDIAN	<u>74</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>94</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>34</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>18</u>
RANGE	<u>5-143</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>64-72</u>	<u>30-127</u>	<u>29-79</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-110</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	<u>27</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>

All Pleas
(ALL P)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>53</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>85</u>
MEDIAN	<u>42</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>73</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>32</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>40</u>
RANGE	<u>1-168</u>	<u>1-109</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>5-115</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>30-145</u>	<u>26-160</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
N	<u>210</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>20</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Dx

Unarmed/Trial
(U/T)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>65</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>84</u>
MEDIAN	<u>72</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>84</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>31</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>14</u>
RANGE	<u>29-143</u>	<u>32-45</u>	<u>64-72</u>	<u>31-74</u>	<u>29-79</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-94</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
N	<u>15</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>

Unarmed/Plea
(U/P)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>49</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>78</u>
MEDIAN	<u>41</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>73</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>33</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>25</u>
RANGE	<u>1-145</u>	<u>1-74</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>21-79</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>30-145</u>	<u>34-113</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
N	<u>107</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>10</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Dx

Armed/Trial (A/T)	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>83</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>110</u>
MEDIAN	<u>82</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>110</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>36</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70-127</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>81-114</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>12</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>

Armed/Plea (A/P)	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>57</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>92</u>
MEDIAN	<u>66</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>69</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>31</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>52</u>
RANGE	<u>5-168</u>	<u>5-109</u>	<u>27-120</u>	<u>5-115</u>	<u>32-76</u>	<u>32-80</u>	<u>26-160</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>103</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Dx

Loss $\leq$ 10K/Trial
(L < 10 T)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>73</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>93</u>
MEDIAN	<u>74</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>94</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>29</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>18</u>
RANGE	<u>31-143</u>	<u>32-45</u>	<u>64-72</u>	<u>31-83</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-110</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
N	<u>16</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>

/Plea
(L < 10/P)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>52</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>77</u>
MEDIAN	<u>42</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>73</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>31</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>34</u>
RANGE	<u>1-160</u>	<u>1-109</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>5-79</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>30-145</u>	<u>26-160</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
N	<u>177</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>17</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: Dx

Loss >10K/Trial
(L>10/T)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>72</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>—</u>
MEDIAN	<u>74</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>—</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>42</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>29-68</u>	<u>80-114</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>

/Plea
(L>10/P)

	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>54</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>130</u>
MEDIAN	<u>37</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>151</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>38</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>52</u>
RANGE	<u>3-168</u>	<u>3-76</u>	<u>75-79</u>	<u>37-115</u>	<u>70-72</u>	<u>69-75</u>	<u>72-16</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>33</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>

APPENDIX TO BASIC OFFENSE DATA ANALYSIS

C DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C

<u>U. L<10/T</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>62</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>83</u>
MEDIAN	<u>73</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>81</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>36</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>10</u>
RANGE	<u>0-143</u>	<u>4-80</u>	<u>0-72</u>	<u>31-74</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-94</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-2</u>
N	<u>16</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>

<u>U. L<10/P</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>52</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>76</u>
MEDIAN	<u>42</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>75</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>39</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>42</u>
RANGE	<u>0-155</u>	<u>0-105</u>	<u>0-149</u>	<u>0-119</u>	<u>0-123</u>	<u>30-149</u>	<u>0-155</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>
N	<u>181</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>22</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C

<u>A. L<10/T</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>91</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>110</u>
MEDIAN	<u>83</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>110</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>18</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>
RANGE	<u>70-110</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70-83</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>109-110</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-2</u>
N	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>

<u>A. L<10/P</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>61</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>97</u>
MEDIAN	<u>67</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>42</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>77</u>
RANGE	<u>0-296</u>	<u>0-109</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>5-150</u>	<u>0-155</u>	<u>0-130</u>	<u>0-296</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>1-5</u>
N	<u>129</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>13</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C

<u>U. L>10/T</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>47</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>—</u>
MEDIAN	<u>32</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>—</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>28</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>29-80</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>29-32</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>

<u>U. L>10/P</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>39</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>0</u>
MEDIAN	<u>32</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>0</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>39</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>—</u>
• RANGE	<u>0-115</u>	<u>0-115</u>	<u>5-80</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70-104</u>	<u>73-107</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>2-6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>4-6</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>25</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C

<u>A. L>10/T</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>86</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>121</u>
MEDIAN	<u>95</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>121</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>39</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>68-81</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-3</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>

<u>A. L>10/P</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>68</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>130</u>
MEDIAN	<u>70</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>151</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>43</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>
RANGE	<u>0-231</u>	<u>0-108</u>	<u>0-117</u>	<u>37-115</u>	<u>55-111</u>	<u>69-231</u>	<u>72-146</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-3</u>	<u>1-1</u>
N	<u>56</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C

<u>ALL T</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>72</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>98</u>
MEDIAN	<u>79</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>101</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>36</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>18</u>
RANGE	<u>0-143</u>	<u>4-120</u>	<u>0-95</u>	<u>31-127</u>	<u>29-81</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-121</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-3</u>
N	<u>35</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>

<u>ALL P</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>57</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>85</u>
MEDIAN	<u>63</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>74</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>41</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>59</u>
RANGE	<u>0-296</u>	<u>0-115</u>	<u>0-149</u>	<u>0-150</u>	<u>0-155</u>	<u>0-231</u>	<u>0-296</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>
N	<u>391</u>	<u>131</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>39</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C

<u>U/T</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>60</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>83</u>
MEDIAN	<u>72</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>81</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>34</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>10</u>
RANGE	<u>0-143</u>	<u>4-80</u>	<u>0-72</u>	<u>31-74</u>	<u>29-79</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-94</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-2</u>
N	<u>19</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>

<u>U/P</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>51</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>73</u>
MEDIAN	<u>42</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>74</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>39</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>44</u>
RANGE	<u>0-155</u>	<u>0-115</u>	<u>0-149</u>	<u>0-119</u>	<u>0-123</u>	<u>30-149</u>	<u>0-155</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>
N	<u>206</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>23</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C

A/T	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>88</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>113</u>
MEDIAN	<u>89</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>116</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>33</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>7</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70-127</u>	<u>68-81</u>	<u>81-114</u>	<u>109-121</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-3</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>
N	<u>16</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>

A/P	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>63</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>103</u>
MEDIAN	<u>68</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>76</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>42</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>73</u>
RANGE	<u>0-296</u>	<u>0-109</u>	<u>0-120</u>	<u>5-150</u>	<u>0-155</u>	<u>0-231</u>	<u>0-296</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-3</u>	<u>1-5</u>
N	<u>185</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>16</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C

<u>L<10 T</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>69</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>94</u>
MEDIAN	<u>74</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>94</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>34</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>16</u>
RANGE	<u>0-143</u>	<u>4-80</u>	<u>0-72</u>	<u>31-83</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-110</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-2</u>
N	<u>21</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>

<u>L<10/P</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>56</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>84</u>
MEDIAN	<u>61</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>74</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>40</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>57</u>
RANGE	<u>0-296</u>	<u>0-109</u>	<u>0-149</u>	<u>0-150</u>	<u>0-155</u>	<u>0-149</u>	<u>0-296</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>
N	<u>310</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C

<u>L>10/T</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>78</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>121</u>
MEDIAN	<u>80</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>121</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>40</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>29-81</u>	<u>80-114</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-3</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>14</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>

<u>L>10/P</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>59</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>98</u>
MEDIAN	<u>68</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>111</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>44</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>78</u>
RANGE	<u>0-231</u>	<u>0-115</u>	<u>0-117</u>	<u>37-115</u>	<u>55-111</u>	<u>69-231</u>	<u>0-168</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-5</u>
N	<u>81</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>

D DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: D

<u>U, L<10/T</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>66</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>83</u>
MEDIAN	<u>74</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>81</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>33</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>10</u>
RANGE	<u>4-143</u>	<u>4-80</u>	<u>64-72</u>	<u>31-74</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-94</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-2</u>
N	<u>15</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>

<u>U, L<10/P</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>57</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>84</u>
MEDIAN	<u>59</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>76</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>37</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>36</u>
• RANGE	<u>1-155</u>	<u>1-105</u>	<u>5-149</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>30-149</u>	<u>5-15</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-8</u>
N	<u>166</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>20</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: D

<u>A. L<10/T</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>91</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>110</u>
MEDIAN	<u>83</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>110</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>18</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>
RANGE	<u>70-110</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70-83</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>109-110</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1-2</u>
N	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>

<u>A. L<10/P</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>66</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>105</u>
MEDIAN	<u>68</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>76</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>40</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>75</u>
RANGE	<u>5-296</u>	<u>5-109</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>5-150</u>	<u>32-155</u>	<u>32-130</u>	<u>26-296</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>1-5</u>
N	<u>120</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>12</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: 0

<u>U, L>10/T</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>47</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>—</u>
MEDIAN	<u>32</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>—</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>28</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>29-80</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>29-32</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>

<u>U, L>10/P</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>51</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>—</u>
MEDIAN	<u>41</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>—</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>37</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>3-115</u>	<u>3-115</u>	<u>5-80</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70-104</u>	<u>73-107</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>2-6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>4-6</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>19</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: D

<u>A, L>10/T</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>86</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>121</u>
MEDIAN	<u>95</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>121</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>39</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>68-81</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-3</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>

<u>A, L>10/P</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>73</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>130</u>
MEDIAN	<u>72</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>151</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>40</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>
RANGE	<u>5-231</u>	<u>5-108</u>	<u>49-117</u>	<u>37-115</u>	<u>55-111</u>	<u>69-231</u>	<u>72-16</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-3</u>	<u>1-1</u>
N	<u>52</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: 0

<u>ALL T</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>75</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>98</u>
MEDIAN	<u>79</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>101</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>34</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>18</u>
RANGE	<u>4-143</u>	<u>4-120</u>	<u>64-95</u>	<u>31-127</u>	<u>29-81</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-12</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-3</u>
N	<u>34</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>

<u>ALL P</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>62</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>95</u>
MEDIAN	<u>69</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>77</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>39</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>54</u>
RANGE	<u>1-296</u>	<u>1-115</u>	<u>5-149</u>	<u>5-150</u>	<u>5-154</u>	<u>30-231</u>	<u>5-296</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>
N	<u>357</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>35</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: D

<u>U/T</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>63</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>83</u>
MEDIAN	<u>73</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>81</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>32</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>10</u>
RANGE	<u>4-143</u>	<u>4-80</u>	<u>64-72</u>	<u>31-74</u>	<u>29-79</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-94</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-2</u>
N	<u>18</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>

<u>U/P</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>57</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>84</u>
MEDIAN	<u>55</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>76</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>37</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>36</u>
• RANGE	<u>1-155</u>	<u>1-115</u>	<u>5-149</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>5-123</u>	<u>30-149</u>	<u>5-155</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>
N	<u>185</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>20</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: D

<u>A/T</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>88</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>113</u>
MEDIAN	<u>89</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>110</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>33</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>7</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70-127</u>	<u>68-81</u>	<u>81-114</u>	<u>109-120</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-3</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>2-2</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-2</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-3</u>
N	<u>16</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>

<u>A/P</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>68</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>110</u>
MEDIAN	<u>69</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>81</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>40</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>70</u>
RANGE	<u>5-296</u>	<u>5-109</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>5-150</u>	<u>32-155</u>	<u>32-231</u>	<u>26-29</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-3</u>	<u>1-5</u>
N	<u>172</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>15</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: D

<u>L<10 T</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>72</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>94</u>
MEDIAN	<u>76</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>94</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>31</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>16</u>
RANGE	<u>4-143</u>	<u>4-80</u>	<u>64-72</u>	<u>31-83</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>41-143</u>	<u>74-110</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-2</u>
N	<u>20</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>

<u>L<10/P</u>	<u>ALL</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>
MEAN	<u>61</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>92</u>
MEDIAN	<u>66</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>76</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>39</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>54</u>
RANGE	<u>1-296</u>	<u>1-109</u>	<u>5-149</u>	<u>5-150</u>	<u>5-154</u>	<u>30-149</u>	<u>5-296</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-7</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>
N	<u>286</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>32</u>

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: D

<u>L>10/T</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>78</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>121</u>
MEDIAN	<u>80</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>121</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>40</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>—</u>
RANGE	<u>5-127</u>	<u>5-120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>29-81</u>	<u>80-114</u>	<u>—</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-3</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>1-1</u>	<u>—</u>
N	<u>14</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>

<u>L>10/P</u>	ALL	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
MEAN	<u>67</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>130</u>
MEDIAN	<u>70</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>151</u>
ST. DEV.	<u>40</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>52</u>
• RANGE	<u>3-231</u>	<u>3-115</u>	<u>5-117</u>	<u>37-115</u>	<u>55-111</u>	<u>69-231</u>	<u>72-16</u>
EVNT.MN.	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>
EVNT.RNG.	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-9</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>1-1</u>
N	<u>71</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>

COMPARISON OF FT AND GT

C DATA (Events = 1, 2, ..., 9)

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C (Events = 1, 2, ..., 9)

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
<u>U, L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>16</u>	—	<u>4</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—
<u>U, L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>181</u>	—	<u>49</u>	—	<u>19</u>	—	<u>40</u>	—	<u>27</u>	—	<u>24</u>	—	<u>22</u>	—
<u>A, L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>5</u>	—	—	—	<u>77</u>	<u>57</u>	—	—	<u>81</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>5</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—
<u>U, L > 10K/P</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>84</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>129</u>	—	<u>41</u>	—	<u>19</u>	—	<u>21</u>	—	<u>25</u>	—	<u>10</u>	—	<u>13</u>	—
<u>U, L > 10K/T</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>69</u>	—	—	—	—	—	—	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>79</u>	—	—
<u>N</u>	<u>3</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—
<u>U, L > 10K/P</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>25</u>	—	<u>15</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—
<u>A, L > 10K/T</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>121</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>11</u>	—	<u>5</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—
<u>A, L > 10K/P</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>56</u>	—	<u>26</u>	—	<u>9</u>	—	<u>8</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—	<u>4</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—
<u>ALL T</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>35</u>	—	<u>9</u>	—	<u>4</u>	—	<u>5</u>	—	<u>5</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—
<u>ALL P</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>391</u>	—	<u>131</u>	—	<u>50</u>	—	<u>70</u>	—	<u>60</u>	—	<u>41</u>	—	<u>39</u>	—

DATA: C

[illegible]

D DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: D

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
<u>U,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>15</u>	—	<u>41</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—
<u>U,L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>166</u>	—	<u>42</u>	—	<u>15</u>	—	<u>39</u>	—	<u>26</u>	—	<u>24</u>	—	<u>20</u>	—
<u>A,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>82</u>	—	—	—	—	<u>77</u>	<u>57</u>	—	—	<u>81</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>5</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—
<u>≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>105</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>120</u>	—	<u>36</u>	—	<u>19</u>	—	<u>21</u>	—	<u>23</u>	—	<u>9</u>	—	<u>12</u>	—
<u>U,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>69</u>	—	—	—	—	—	—	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>79</u>	—	—
<u>N</u>	<u>3</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—
<u>U,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>76</u>	—	—
<u>N</u>	<u>19</u>	—	<u>10</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—
<u>A,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>124</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>11</u>	—	<u>5</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—
<u>A,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>52</u>	—	<u>23</u>	—	<u>8</u>	—	<u>8</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—	<u>4</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—
<u>ALL T</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>34</u>	—	<u>9</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—	<u>5</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—
<u>ALL P</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>357</u>	—	<u>111</u>	—	<u>45</u>	—	<u>69</u>	—	<u>57</u>	—	<u>40</u>	—	<u>35</u>	—

DATA: D

[illegible]

C_x* DATA (probable career
offender dropped)

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: CX* (probable career offenders removed)

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
<u>I, L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>I, L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>I, L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>I, L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>84</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>I, L > 10K/T</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>I, L > 10K/P</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A, L > 10K/T</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A, L > 10K/P</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>ALL T</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>ALL P</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>180</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>

D_x* DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: PX ★

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
<u>U,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>84</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>ALL T</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>P P</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>166</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>

DATA: DX ★

[illegible]

C• DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: C*

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
U,L ≤ 10K/T	51	45	40	45	45	37	52	42	79	52	80	64	—	—
N	11	—	4	—	3	—	2	—	1	—	1	—	0	—
U,L ≤ 10K/P	42	39	28	31	25	33	48	38	62	47	68	57	59	71
N	131	—	47	—	15	—	35	—	20	—	10	—	4	—
A,L ≤ 10K/T	90	85	—	—	—	—	70	57	—	—	—	—	109	113
N	2	—	0	—	0	—	1	—	0	—	0	—	1	—
A,L ≤ 10K/P	58	50	42	38	57	43	63	52	77	73	89	71	99	84
N	96	—	40	—	19	—	15	—	14	—	4	—	4	—
U,L > 10K/T	31	64	—	—	—	—	—	—	31	64	—	—	—	—
N	2	—	0	—	0	—	0	—	2	—	0	—	0	—
U,L > 10K/P	39	46	21	37	53	47	70	55	104	68	86	76	—	—
N	23	—	15	—	3	—	1	—	1	—	3	—	0	—
A,L > 10K/T	78	68	68	55	95	64	—	—	75	83	114	104	—	—
N	9	—	5	—	1	—	0	—	2	—	1	—	0	—
A,L > 10K/P	59	54	47	47	73	55	81	59	77	81	74	81	—	—
N	45	—	26	—	8	—	5	—	5	—	1	—	0	—
ALL T	63	58	56	51	58	44	58	47	58	69	97	84	109	113
N	24	—	9	—	4	—	3	—	5	—	2	—	1	—
A,P	50	45	35	37	49	42	55	44	70	61	76	65	79	77
N	245	—	128	—	45	—	56	—	40	—	18	—	8	—

DATA: C★

[illegible]

D* DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: D*

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
<u>U,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>113</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>84</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>ALL T</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>113</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,T P</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>270</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>—</u>

DATA: P☆

[illegible]

ALL OFFENSE ANALYSIS: COMPARISON OF FT AND GT

A DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: A

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
<u>U,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>18</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>1</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>3</u>	
<u>U,L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>66</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>195</u>		<u>56</u>		<u>21</u>		<u>42</u>		<u>27</u>		<u>27</u>		<u>22</u>	
<u>A,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>18</u>		<u>7</u>		<u>1</u>		<u>6</u>		<u>0</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>2</u>	
<u>10K/P</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>82</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>169</u>		<u>57</u>		<u>24</u>		<u>29</u>		<u>31</u>		<u>14</u>		<u>14</u>	
<u>U,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>3</u>		<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>1</u>		<u>0</u>	
<u>U,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>27</u>		<u>16</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>1</u>	
<u>A,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>117</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>124</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>15</u>		<u>6</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>1</u>		<u>1</u>	
<u>A,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>73</u>		<u>35</u>		<u>10</u>		<u>10</u>		<u>9</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>4</u>	
<u>ALL T</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>54</u>		<u>17</u>		<u>6</u>		<u>10</u>		<u>6</u>		<u>9</u>		<u>6</u>	
<u>ALL P</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>464</u>		<u>164</u>		<u>58</u>		<u>82</u>		<u>69</u>		<u>50</u>		<u>41</u>	

DATA: A

[illegible]

B DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: B

Model: _____

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
<u>U, L<10/T</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>76</u>
N	<u>17</u>	—	<u>4</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>5</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—
<u>U, L<10/P</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>67</u>
N	<u>177</u>	—	<u>46</u>	—	<u>17</u>	—	<u>41</u>	—	<u>26</u>	—	<u>27</u>	—	<u>20</u>	—
<u>A, L<10/T</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>64</u>	—	—	<u>75</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>104</u>
N	<u>18</u>	—	<u>7</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—
<u>A, L<10/P</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>82</u>
N	<u>156</u>	—	<u>49</u>	—	<u>24</u>	—	<u>29</u>	—	<u>29</u>	—	<u>12</u>	—	<u>13</u>	—
<u>U, L>10/T</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>69</u>	—	—	—	—	—	—	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>79</u>	—	—
N	<u>3</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—
<u>U, L>10/P</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>77</u>	—	—
N	<u>21</u>	—	<u>11</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>4</u>	—	<u>0</u>	—
<u>A, L>10/T</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>117</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>124</u>
N	<u>15</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>2</u>	—	<u>3</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—	<u>1</u>	—
<u>A, L>10/P</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>104</u>
N	<u>67</u>	—	<u>30</u>	—	<u>9</u>	—	<u>10</u>	—	<u>9</u>	—	<u>5</u>	—	<u>4</u>	—
<u>ALL T</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>93</u>
N	<u>53</u>	—	<u>17</u>	—	<u>5</u>	—	<u>10</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—	<u>9</u>	—	<u>6</u>	—
<u>ALL P</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>76</u>
N	<u>421</u>	—	<u>136</u>	—	<u>53</u>	—	<u>81</u>	—	<u>66</u>	—	<u>48</u>	—	<u>37</u>	—

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: B

Model:

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
<u>U/T</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>76</u>
N	<u>20</u>	<u> </u>	<u>4</u>	<u> </u>	<u>2</u>	<u> </u>	<u>2</u>	<u> </u>	<u>3</u>	<u> </u>	<u>6</u>	<u> </u>	<u>3</u>	<u> </u>
<u>U/P</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>67</u>
N	<u>198</u>	<u> </u>	<u>57</u>	<u> </u>	<u>20</u>	<u> </u>	<u>42</u>	<u> </u>	<u>28</u>	<u> </u>	<u>31</u>	<u> </u>	<u>20</u>	<u> </u>
<u>A/T</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>107</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>113</u>	<u>110</u>
N	<u>33</u>	<u> </u>	<u>13</u>	<u> </u>	<u>3</u>	<u> </u>	<u>8</u>	<u> </u>	<u>3</u>	<u> </u>	<u>3</u>	<u> </u>	<u>3</u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u>67</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>105</u>	<u>87</u>
N	<u>223</u>	<u> </u>	<u>79</u>	<u> </u>	<u>33</u>	<u> </u>	<u>39</u>	<u> </u>	<u>38</u>	<u> </u>	<u>17</u>	<u> </u>	<u>17</u>	<u> </u>
<u>L<10/T</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>87</u>
N	<u>35</u>	<u> </u>	<u>11</u>	<u> </u>	<u>3</u>	<u> </u>	<u>8</u>	<u> </u>	<u>1</u>	<u> </u>	<u>7</u>	<u> </u>	<u>5</u>	<u> </u>
<u>L<10/P</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>73</u>
N	<u>333</u>	<u> </u>	<u>95</u>	<u> </u>	<u>41</u>	<u> </u>	<u>70</u>	<u> </u>	<u>55</u>	<u> </u>	<u>39</u>	<u> </u>	<u>33</u>	<u> </u>
<u>L>10/T</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>117</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>124</u>
N	<u>18</u>	<u> </u>	<u>6</u>	<u> </u>	<u>2</u>	<u> </u>	<u>2</u>	<u> </u>	<u>5</u>	<u> </u>	<u>2</u>	<u> </u>	<u>1</u>	<u> </u>
<u>L>10/P</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>104</u>
N	<u>88</u>	<u> </u>	<u>41</u>	<u> </u>	<u>12</u>	<u> </u>	<u>11</u>	<u> </u>	<u>11</u>	<u> </u>	<u>9</u>	<u> </u>	<u>4</u>	<u> </u>

APPENDIX TO ALL OFFENSE ANALYSIS

A* DATA (probable career
offenders removed)

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: A (probable career offenders removed)

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
<u>U,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>145</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>113</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>132</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>108</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>ALL T</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>113</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>ALL P</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>359</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>

DATA: A[☆]

[illegible]

B* DATA

BANK ROBBERY DATA

DATA: B*

	ALL		I		II		III		IV		V		VI	
	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT	FT	GT
<u>J,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>J,L ≤ 10K/P</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>131</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L ≤ 10K/T</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>113</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A, 10K/P</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>124</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>U,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L > 10K/T</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>108</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>A,L > 10K/P</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>ALL T</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>109</u>	<u>113</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>
<u>ALL P</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>326</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>133</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>

